UNDER PUBLIC REVIEW SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGOR	/ :	LAND	FILL GAS FLARE	
BACT Size:	Minor Source	BACT	LANDFILL GAS FLARE	
BACT Determination Number: 198			BACT Determination Date:	
		Equipmen	t Information	
Permit Nur	mber: 25596			
Equipment	t Description:	LANDFILL GAS FLAR	E	
	Rating/Capacity:	167 MMBtu/hr		
Equipment	t Location:		TO SOLID WASTE PUBLIC WORKS	
		20 28TH ST		
		SACRAMENTO, CA	ation Information	
	1		ation Information	
ROCs	Standard:	See Comment Section		
	Technology			
	Description:	Achieved in Practice		
	Basis: Standard:	0.05 lb/MMBtu		
NOx	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
SOx	Standard:	0.04 lb/MMBtu		
507	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
PM10	Standard:	6.1 lb/MMcf		
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
PM2.5	Standard:	6.1 lb/MMcf		
1 1112.5	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice 0.15 lb/MMBtu		
СО	Standard:			
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
LEAD	Standard:			
	Technology			
	Description:			
Basis:				
Comments: This BACT is for a non-hazardous landfill. T-BACT is equivalent to BACT. BACT for the pilot is the use of natural gas or LPG/Propane.				
	VOC BACT Standa	rd: 98% NMOC destruction effic	ciency or 20 ppmvd NMOC @ 3% O2 as Hexane.	
District C	Contact: Felix	Trujillo Phone No.: (91	6) 874 - 7357 email: ftrujillo@airquality.org	

SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY AND TOXIC BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

DETERMINATION NO.:	198
DATE:	November 7, 2018
ENGINEER:	Felix Trujillo, Jr.

Category/General Equip Description:	Flare
Equipment Specific Description:	Non-Hazardous Landfill Gas Flare
Equipment Size/Rating:	Minor Source
Previous BACT Det. No.:	102

SMAQMD's BACT Clearinghouse does not have a current BACT guideline for non-hazardous landfill gas flares. The last BACT determination (BACT # 102) for this type of operation was determined on 3/16/15 and expired on 3/16/17. Since more than two years has passed since the last determination, a new BACT determination had to be determined. Therefore, a new BACT determination was performed under the project for A/C 25596 (28th Street Landfill, Solid Waste Division, City of Sacramento).

BACT ANALYSIS

A: ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a)

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT for non-hazardous landfill gas flares:

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	BACT Source: EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse		
Non-Hazardous Landfill Gas Flare (A)			
	voc	20 ppmvd as Hexane @ 3% O2	
	NOx	0.06 lb/MMBtu	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	17 lb/MMcf	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	СО	0.2 lb/MMBtu	
	(A) See A	Appendix A for EPA BACT Clearinghouse listing for landfill flares.	
	T-BACT: There are	e no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.	
	RULE REQUIREMENTS: 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart WWW – Standards of Performance for Municipal Sol Waste Landfills		
US EPA	This subpart is applicable to municipal solid waste landfills with a design capacity of equal to or greater than 2.5 million megarams and 2.5 million cubic meters and with non-methane organic compound (NMOC) emissions greater than 50 megagrams/year that commenced construction, reconstruction or modification after May 30, 1991.		
		lation requires an enclosed flare to reduce NMOC by 98% by weight or the outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 ppmvd as hexane @ $3\% O_2$.	
	40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Cc – Emission Guidelines and Compliance T Municipal Solid Waste Landfills		
equal to or greater than 2.5 million megarams and 2.5 million non-methane organic compound (NMOC) emission		ms/year that commenced construction, reconstruction or modification	
		lation requires an enclosed flare to reduce NMOC by 98% by weight or e outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 ppmvd as hexane @ $3\% O_2$.	
	40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Cf – Emission Guidelines and Compliance Time for Municipal Solid Waste Landfill		
	This subpart is applicable to existing municipal solid waste landfills for wh construction, reconstruction or modification was commenced on or before July 2014.		

District/Agency	Best Ava	ilable Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements	
	lation requires an enclosed flare to reduce NMOC by 98% by weight or e outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 ppmvd as hexane @ $3\% O_2$.		
	40 CFR Part 60 Subpart XXX – Standards of Performance for Municipal Waste Landfills		
	This subpart is applicable to municipal solid waste landfills that commen construction, reconstruction or modification after July 17, 2014.		
		lation requires an enclosed flare to reduce NMOC by 98% by weight or e outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 ppmvd as hexane @ $3\% O_2$.	
		Part 63 Subpart AAAA – National Emission Standards for Hazardous tants: Municipal Solid Waste Landfills	
	This subpart establishes national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants for exsiting and new municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills. Pursuant to Section 63.1955(a)(1), compliance with this regulation is shown by meeting the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart WWW.		
	BACT Source: A	ARB BACT Clearinghouse	
	(A) See A	Appendix A for CARB BACT Clearinghouse listing for landfill flares.	
	Non-Ha	zardous Landfill Gas Flare (A)	
	voc	20 ppmvd NMOC as hexane @ 3% O ₂	
	NOx	0.05 lb/MMBtu	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	No standard	
	PM2.5	No standard	
ARB	СО	0.4 lb MMBtu	
	RULE RE California Sections Landfills. The purpo to the Cal to MSW	e no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. EQUIREMENTS: a Code of Regulations Title 17, Subchapter 10, Article 4, Subarticle 6, 95460 through 65476: Methane Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste ose of this rule is to reduce methane emissions from MSW landfills pursuant ifornia Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. This regulation is applicable landfills that received solid waste after January 1, 1977. This facility solid waste after January 1, 1977, therefore it is subject to the requirements	

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	of this regulation.			
ARB	Section 95464(b)(2)(A) requires enclosed flares to achieve a methane destruction efficiency of at least 99% by weight.			
ARB	efficiency BACT Source: 3 Non-Ha VOC NOx SOx PM10 PM2.5 CO T-BACT: T-BACT Rule 485 The purpoimplemer and Com 40 CFR F	of at least 99% by weight. SMAQMD BACT Clearinghouse; BACT #102 zardous Landfill Gas Flare 98% control efficiency or 20 ppmvd @ 3% O2 as Hexane 0.05 lb/MMBtu 0.04 lb/MMBtu 6.1 lb/MMcf 6.1 lb/MMcf 0.15 lb/MMBtu was determined to be equivalent to BACT. EQUIREMENTS: – Municipal Landfill Gas ose of this rule is to limit NMOC emissions from existing MSW landfills by thing the provisions of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Cc – Emission Guidelines pliance times for MSW Landfills. Part 60 Subpart Cc requires a control device to reduce NMOC by 98% by reduce the outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 ppmvd as hexane		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	BACT Source: SCAQMD BACT Guidelines for Non-Major Sources, Page 53			
	Non-Hazardous Landfill Gas Flare			
	VOCGround level, shrouded, ≥ 0.6 second retention time at 1400 °F, auto combustion air control, automatic shutoff gas valve and automatic re- start system			
	NOx	0.06 lb/MMBtu		
	SOx	No standard		
South Coast	PM10	Knockout vessel		
AQMD	PM2.5	No standard		
	со	Ground level, shrouded, \geq 0.6 second retention time at 1400 °F, auto combustion air control		
	There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. RULE REQUIREMENTS : Rule 1150.1 Control of Gaseous Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills This rule requires a flare serving a MSW landfill to reduce NMOC by at least 98 percent by weight or reduce the outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 ppmvd @ 3% O ₂ as hexane.			
	BACT Source: NSR Requirements for BACT The SDCAPCD does not have a BACT determination for this source category. The SDCAPCD has a BACT trigger level of 10 lb/day. T-BACT: There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. RULE REQUIREMENTS: None			

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	BACT Source: BAAQMD BACT Guideline, Document 80.1 (12/16/91)		
	Non-Ha	zardous Landfill Gas Flare	
	VOC Ground level, enclosed, ≥ 0.6 second retention time at 1400 °F, auto combustion air control, automatic shutoff gas valve and automatic restart system		
	NOx	0.06 lb/MMBtu	
Bay Area AQMD	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	No standard	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	со	Same as VOC	
	The BAAQMD has a BACT trigger level of 10 lb/day.		
	T-BACT: There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.		
	RULE REQUIREMENTS: None.		
	BACT Source: SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline 1.4.3		
	The SJVAPCD does not have a BACT determination for this source category. BACT Guideline 1.4.3 was rescinded by the SJVAPCD on 11/7/16.		
San Joaquin Valley APCD	The SJVAPCD BACT trigger level is 2 lb/day.		
	<u>T-BACT</u> : There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.		
	RULE REQUIREMENTS: None		

SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES				
Pollutant	Standard	Source		
voc	98% NMOC destruction efficiency or 20 ppmvd NMOC @ 3% O2 measured as Hexane	EPA, CARB, SMAQMD,		
NOx	 0.05 lb/MMBtu 0.06 lb/MMBtu 	CARB, SMAQMD EPA, SCAQMD, BAAQMD		
SOx	0.04 lb/MMBtu	SMAQMD		
PM10	 6.1 lb/MMcf 17 lb/MMcf 	SMAQMD, EPA		
PM2.5	1. 6.1 lb/MMcf	SMAQMD		
со	 0.15 lb/MMBtu 0.2 lb/MMBtu 0.4 lb/MMBtu 	SMAQMD EPA CARB		

The following control technologies have been identified and are ranked based on stringency:

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED			
Pollutant	Standard	Source	
voc	98% NMOC destruction efficiency or 20 ppmvd NMOC @ 3% O ₂ measured as Hexane	EPA, CARB, SMAQMD,	
NOx	0.05 lb/MMBtu	CARB, SMAQMD	
SOx	0.04 lb/MMBtu	SMAQMD	
PM10	6.1 lb/MMcf	SMAQMD	
PM2.5	6.1 lb/MMcf	SMAQMD	
со	0.15 lb/MMBtu	SMAQMD	

Pilot Burner:

The EPA and CARB BACT Clearinghouses did not address the pilot burner. The Districts referenced under this BACT determination also did not address the pilot, since these Districts would consider the pilot as an insignificant source of emissions and would exempt it from permitting requirements. However, SMAQMD permits these types of emissions units as they are part of a process that requires a permit. The use of natural gas or LPG/propane is what is

BACT and T-BACT Determination Landfill Gas Flare Page 8 of 9

used to fire these types of units. Therefore, the use of natural gas or LPG/propane on the pilot will be included as achieved in practice BACT under this determination to cover the use of a pilot.

B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer. The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a.

Pollutant	Technologically Feasible Alternatives
VOC	None identified
NOx	0.025 lb/MMBtu
SOx	Scrubbing and/or carbon adsorption for hydrogen sulfide removal
PM10	None identified
PM2.5	None identified
СО	None identified

NOx Cost Effectiveness Analysis Summary:

The cost analysis was processed in accordance with the EPA OAQPS Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (Sixth Edition) Chapter 1 Flares (5/17). The sales tax rate was based on the District's standard rate of 8.5% as approved on 10/17/16. The life (15 years) of the equipment was based on the EPA cost manual recommendation. The interest rate (5%) was based on the previous 6-month (April – August/2018) average interest rate on United States Treasury Securities (based on the life of the equipment) and addition of two percentage points and rounding up the next higher integer rate. The labor (Occupation Code 51-8099: Plant & System Operators/All Other) and maintenance (Occupation Code 49-9099: Installation, maintenance, and repair workers, all others) rates were based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (May 2017 for California). The cost of the ZULE flare was provided by John Zink, as requested by the facility. John Zink also stated the cost of a Zule flare is about 2.2 to 2.6 times the price of a standard enclose flare. The lowest factor was used to determine the price of a standard flare.

Background:

John Zink (Aron Katz - <u>Aron.Katz@johnzink.com</u>) was contacted by the District to determine if they provide flares that meet a NOx standard of 0.025 lb/MMBtu for small flares (9.6 MMBtu/hr). John Zink stated they guarantee this standard for flares in the size range from 2 MMBt/hr to 160 MMBtu/hr. The facility was asked to provide cost information for a 9.6 MMBtu/hr ZULE flare. The cost information provided by John Zink is included in the spreadsheet of Appendix B. According to the District's Procedures for Making BACT and T-BACT Determinations for New and Modified Emission Units (10/16) guidance document, the emissions reduced are the difference between the post-BACT and pre-BACT emissions. The document states if there are no local, State or

BACT and T-BACT Determination Landfill Gas Flare Page 9 of 9

Federal emission standars, that emissions can be based on EPA's Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (AP-42). Therefore, an emission factor of 0.068 lb/MMBtu will be used for a standard flare (AP-42 Section 13.5 Industrial Flares Table 13.5-1 (2/18)). The cost analysis will determine if it is cost effective to install a 0.025 lb NOx/MMBtu flare. The cost analysis will be based on the difference in NOx reductions from a standard flare (0.068 lb/MMBtu) and a Zule flare (0.025 lb/MMBtu) and the cost of a zule flare. A cost analysis will be performed on a 9.6 MMBtu/hr flare and a 167 MMBtu/hr flare. A capital cost of \$1,400,000 for a 167 MMBtu/hr ZULE flare is being used in the SCAQMD's proposed Rule 1118.1 cost-effectiveness analysis for that rule (http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/rules/scaqmd-rule-book/proposed-rules#1118.1). The District will use the aforementioned information to determine the maximum size flare rating for this BACT determination.

9.6 MMBtu/hr Flare:

Equipment Life = 15 years Total Annual Cost = 273,849.91 per year NOx Removed = 1.8 tons per year

Cost of NOx Removal = \$151,460.29 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for NOx is shown in Appendix B. As shown above, the cost of installing a 0.025 lb NOx/MMBtu flare is greater than \$24,500 per ton of NOx reduced and therefore not cost effective.

167 MMBtu/hr Flare:

Equipment Life = 15 years Total Annual Cost = \$904,867.27 per year NOx Removed = 31.5 tons per year

Cost of NOx Removal = \$28,769.07 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for NOx is shown in Appendix B. As shown above, the cost of installing a 0.025 lb NOx/MMBtu flare is greater than \$24,500 per ton of NOx reduced and therefore not cost effective.

SOx Cost Effectiveness Analysis Summary:

The District has no specific rules for flares that address SOx emissions. Emissions of SO₂ generally result from the combustion of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) in landfill gas. AP-42 Section 2.4 Municipal Solid Waste Landfills (11/98) Table 2.4-1 lists an H₂S concentration of 35.5 ppmv for landfills. The Draft Version (10/08) of the amendment to this section includes additional information on SOx emissions. According to this draft document, H₂S can vary greatly between landfills. The document states the H₂S is normally present in LFG at levels ranging from 0 to 90 ppm, with an average concentration of 33 ppm. The H₂S concentration will depend on the gypsum (wall-board) content of material in the landfill from construction and demolition waste. The proposed H₂S content for this project is above the aforementioned concentrations. In order to determine a standard for landfill gas, a research of other district's requirments was conducted.

BACT and T-BACT Determination Landfill Gas Flare Page 10 of 9

SCAQMD District Rule 431.1 has a specific sulfur content requirement for landfill gas of 150 ppmv as H_2S . The proposed uncontrolled H_2S limit for this project and uncontrolled H_2S tested for the existing permitted landfills within the District's jurisdiction are under this concentration. Therefore, this standard shall be used as the uncontrolled standard in the cost analysis.

Under the District's cost analysis for NOx, the District determined that the maximum rating of a flare that would be subject to this BACT as being 167 MMBtu/hr. In order to determine the flowrate associated with a flare of this size, a landfill gas higher heating value (HHV) of 500 Btu/scf will be used. The flowrate determined for this size of flare is equal to 5,567 cfm¹.

Carbon Adsorption:

The cost analysis was processed in accordance with the EPA OAQPS Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (Sixth Edition) Chapter 1 Carbon Adsorbers (9/99). The sales tax rate was based on the District's standard rate of 8.5% as approved on 10/17/16. The life (10 years) of the equipment was based on the EPA cost manual recommendation. The interest rate (5%) was based on the previous 6-month (April – August/2018) average interest rate on United States Treasury Securities (based on the life of the equipment) and addition of two percentage points and rounding up the next higher integer rate. The labor (Occupation Code 51-8099: Plant & System Operators/All Other) and maintenance (Occupation Code 49-9099: Installation, maintenance, and repair workers, all others) rates were based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (May 2017 for California). The capital cost for a carbon adsorption system at a flowrate of 5,000 cfm was provided by SCS Engineers. This cost will be used for the 167 MMBtu/hr flare as the flowrates are similar. SCS engineers also provided a cost of a carbon system associated with this project with a flowrate of 400 cfm. The annual cost of the carbon replacement was also provided by SCS Engineers.

H₂S Control for 9.6 MMBtu/hr Flare:

Equipment Life = 10 years Total Annual Cost = \$74,578.23 per year SOx Removed = 0.4 tons per year

Cost of SOx Removal = \$177,364.52 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for SOx is shown in Appendix B. As shown above, the cost of installing a carbon adsorption system for H_2S control is greater than \$18,500 per ton of SOx reduced and therefore not cost effective.

H₂S Control for 167 MMBtu/hr Flare:

Equipment Life = 10 years

Total Annual Cost = \$554,429.34 per year

SOx Removed = 7.3 tons per year

Cost of SOx Removal = \$75,797.63 per ton reduced

¹ Fuel Flowrate (cfm) = 167,000,000 Btu/hr/(500 Btu/cf x 60 min/hr)

BACT and T-BACT Determination Landfill Gas Flare Page 11 of 9

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for SOx is shown in Appendix B. As shown above, the cost of installing a carbon adsorption system for H_2S control is greater than \$18,500 per ton of SOx reduced and therefore not cost effective.

Wet Scrubber:

The cost analysis was processed in accordance with the EPA OAQPS Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (Sixth Edition) Chapter 1 Wet Scrubbers for Acid Gas (12/95). The sales tax rate was based on the District's standard rate of 8.5% as approved on 10/17/16. The life (15 years) of the equipment was based on the EPA cost manual recommendation. The interest rate (5%) was based on the previous 6-month (April – August/2018) average interest rate on United States Treasury Securities (based on the life of the equipment) and addition of two percentage points and rounding up the next higher integer rate. The labor (Occupation Code 51-8099: Plant & System Operators/All Other) and maintenance (Occupation Code 49-9099: Installation, maintenance, and repair workers, all others) rates were based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (May 2017 for California). The capital cost for a wet scrubber system (LO-CAT) at a flowrate of 1,388 cfm was provided by SCS Engineers for a similar project. The cost for a higher flowrate system would be higher. SCS engineers also provided a cost for a scrubbing system associated with this project with a flowrate of 400 cfm. The annual cost of the media replacement was also provided by SCS Engineers.

H₂S Control for 9.6 MMBtu/hr Flare:

Equipment Life = 15 years Total Annual Cost = \$588,914.08 per year SOx Removed = 0.2 tons per year

Cost of SOx Removal = \$2,801,151.43 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for SOx is shown in Appendix B. As shown above, the cost of installing a wet scrubber system for H_2S control is greater than \$18,500 per ton of SOx reduced and therefore not cost effective.

H₂S Control for 167 MMBtu/hr Flare:

Equipment Life = 15 years Total Annual Cost = \$733,850.35 per year SOx Removed = 3.7 tons per year

Cost of SOx Removal = \$200,653.58 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for SOx is shown in Appendix B. As shown above, the cost of installing a wet scrubber system for H_2S control is greater than \$18,500 per ton of SOx reduced and therefore not cost effective.

C. SELECTION OF BACT:

Minor Source BACT for a non-hazardous landfill gas flare is the following:

BACT FOR NON-HAZARDOUS LANDFILL GAS FLARE				
Pollutant	Standard	Source		
voc	98% NMOC destruction efficiency or 20 ppmvd NMOC @ 3% O ₂ as Hexane and use of a natural gas or LPG/propane fired pilot	EPA, CARB, SMAQMD,		
NOx	0.05 lb/MMBtu and use of a natural gas or LPG/propane fired pilot	CARB, SMAQMD		
SOx	0.04 lb/MMBtu and use of a natural gas or LPG/propane fired pilot	SMAQMD		
PM10	6.1 lb/MMcf and use of a natural gas or LPG/propane fired pilot	SMAQMD		
PM2.5	6.1 lb/MMcf and use of a natural gas or LPG/propane fired pilot	SMAQMD		
со	0.15 lb/MMBtu and use of a natural gas or LPG/propane fired pilot	SMAQMD		

D. SELECTION OF T-BACT:

As referenced in Section A of this document, the applicable Federal NSPS (40 CFR Part 60 Subpart WWW) and NESHAP (40 CFR Part 63 Subpart AAAA) require the use of a control device to reduce NMOC by 98% by weight or reduce the outlet NMOC concentration to less than 20 ppmvd as hexane @ 3% O2. There are no State ATCM's for this source category. None of the sources surveyed have any toxic T-BACT determinations published. Therefore, T-BACT standards will be considered as meeting the BACT standards identified above.

APPROVED BY:

DATE:

Appendix A

Review of BACT Determinations

List of applicable BACT determinations published in EPA's RBLC Clearinghouse for **Process** Code 19.320 (Digester and Landfill Gas Flares):

Process Code 19.320 – Digester and Landfill Gas Flares								
Description and Capacity	RBLC ID	Date	Case- By-Case Basis	voc	NOx	SOx	PM10/2.5	со
Open Landfill Flare	<u>NY-0110</u>	1/10/17	BACT- PSD	N/A	0.068 lb/MMBtu	N/A	N/A	0.31 Ib/MMBtu
Enclosed Landfill Flare	<u>NY-0110</u>	1/10/17	BACT- PSD	N/A	0.06 lb/MMBtu	N/A	0.017 Ib/MMBtu	0.2 Ib/MMBtu
Enclosed Landfill Flare	<u>NY-0111</u>	12/02/16	BACT- PSD	N/A	0.06 lb/MMBtu	N/A	N/A	0.2 Ib/MMBtu
Landfill Flare	<u>IN-0246</u>	10/22/15	BACT- PSD	N/A	0.068 lb/MMBtu	N/A	17 lb/MMcf	0.37 Ib/MMBtu
Landfill Flare	<u>FL-0339</u>	9/15/14	BACT- PSD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Landfill Flare	<u>OR-0052</u>	6/21/13	BACT- PSD	20 ppm @ 3% O2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enclosed Landfill Flare	<u>CA-1234</u>	1/1/10	BACT- PSD	20 ppm @ 3% O2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flare	<u>RI-0023</u>	1/1/10	BACT- PSD	N/A	0.06 Ib/MMBtu	N/A	N/A	0.2 Ib/MMBtu





About Our Work Resources Business Assistance Rulemaking News

BACT Determination Detail

Category

Source Category:	Landfill: Flare-Digester Gas or Landfill Gas from Non- Hazardous Waste Landfill
SIC Code	4953
NAICS Code	562212

Emission Unit Information

Manufacturer:	Perennial Energy
Туре:	enclosed
Model:	FL-120-30-E
Equipment Description:	landfill gas flare
Capacity / Dimentions	1,800 scfm, 54 MM Btu/hr
Fuel Type	Landfill Gas
Multiple Fuel Types	

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=932

BACT Determination Detail

Operating Schedule (hours/day)/(days/week)/ (weeks/year)e	Variable (/ /)
Function of Equipment	control landfill gas
VOC Limit	20
VOC Limit Units	ppmvd@3%O2
VOC Average Time	
VOC Control Method	Add-on
VOC Control Method Desc	Enclosed flare
VOC Percent Control Efficiency	
VOC Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
VOC Incremental Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
VOC Cost Verified (Y/N)	

VOC Dollar Year

Project / Permit Information

Application/Permit No.:

980163

Application Completeness Date:

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=932

New Construction/Modification:	Modification
ATC Date:	
PTO Date:	
Startup Date:	
Technology Status:	BACT Determination
Source Test Available:	Yes
Source Test Results:	

Facility / District Information

Facility Name:	Sycamore Landfill, Inc.
Facility Zip Code:	
Facility County:	San Diego
District Name:	San Diego County APCD
District Contact:	Alta Stengel
Contact Phone No.:	858-650-4611
Contact E-Mail:	Alta.Stengel@sdcounty.ca.gov

Notes

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=932

BACT Determination Detail

Page 3 of 4

New Construction/Modification:	Modification
ATC Date:	
PTO Date:	
Startup Date:	
Technology Status:	BACT Determination
Source Test Available:	Yes
Source Test Results:	

Facility / District Information

Facility Name:	Sycamore Landfill, Inc.
Facility Zip Code:	
Facility County:	San Diego
District Name:	San Diego County APCD
District Contact:	Alta Stengel
Contact Phone No.:	858-650-4611
Contact E-Mail:	Alta.Stengel@sdcounty.ca.gov

Notes

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=932



About Our Work Resources Business Assistance Rulemaking News

BACT Determination Detail

Category

Source Category:	Landfill: Flare-Digester Gas or Landfill Gas from Non- Hazardous Waste Landfill
SIC Code	4953
NAICS Code	562212

Emission Unit Information

Manufacturer:	Perennial Energy, Inc.
Туре:	Landfill Gas Flare
Model:	FL-90-26-E
Equipment Description:	Enclosed landfill gas flare
Capacity / Dimentions	20 MMBtu/hr
Fuel Type	Landfill Gas
Multiple Fuel Types	No

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=984

BACT Determination Detail

Page 2 of 5

Operating Schedule (hours/day)/(days/week)/ (weeks/year)e	Continuous (24/7/52)
Function of Equipment	combust landfill gas

Bact Information

NOx Limit	0.05
NOx Limit Units	lb/MMBtu
NOx Average Time	40 minutes
NOx Control Method	Pollution Prevention
NOx Control Method Desc	landfill gas flare
NOx Percent Control Efficiency	
NOx Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
NOx Incremental Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
NOx Cost Verified (Y/N)	
NOx Dollar Year	
CO Limit	0.4
CO Limit Units	lb/MMBtu
CO Average Time	40 minutes

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=984

CO Control Method	Pollution Prevention
CO Control Method Desc	landfill gas flare
CO Percent Control Efficiency	
CO Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
CO Incremental Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
CO Cost Verified (Y/N)	
CO Dollar Year	
VOC Limit	20
VOC Limit Units	ppmvd NMOC @ 3% O2
VOC Average Time	40
VOC Control Method	Pollution Prevention
VOC Control Method Desc	ppm as hexane
VOC Percent Control Efficiency	
VOC Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
VOC Incremental Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)	
VOC Cost Verified (Y/N)	

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=984

VOC Dollar Year

Project / Permit Information

Application/Permit No.:	ATC 12037
Application Completeness Date:	
New Construction/Modification:	New Construction
ATC Date:	03-07-2007
PTO Date:	11-05-2008
Startup Date:	08-08-2007
Technology Status:	BACT Determination
Source Test Available:	Yes
Source Test Results:	0.048 lb NOx/MMBtu, 0.377 lb NOx/hr, 0.165 lb CO/MMbtu, 1.293 lb CO/hr, 4.8 ppm NMOC as hexane @ 3% O2

Facility / District Information

Facility Name:	Santa Maria Regional Landfill
Facility Zip Code:	93454
Facility County:	Santa Barbara
District Name:	Santa Barbara County APCD

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=984

District Contact:	Ben Ellenberger
District Contact.	Ben Ellenberge

Contact Phone No.: (805) 961-8800

Contact E-Mail: cbe@sbcapcd.org

Notes

Notes:

Three in-stack thermocouples to measure combustion temperature, landfill gas blower with variable frequency drive, automatic temperature control system using louvers to regulate excess air

Report Error In Determination

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=984

REPLACED SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

Permit Nun Equipment	Minor Source			FLA
Permit Nun Equipment	rmination Numb	er: 102		
Equipment			BACT Determination Date:	3/16/201
Equipment		Equipment	Information	
-	nber: 24341			
	Description:	FLARE		
Unit Size/R	ating/Capacity:	18 MMBtu/hr		
Equipment	Location:	CITY OF SACRAMENT	O SOLID WASTE PUBLIC WORKS	
		20 28TH ST		
		SACRAMENTO, CA		
		BACT Determina	tion Information	
ROCs	Standard:	98% control efficiency or 20 ppm	ov @ 3%O2 as Hexane	
	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
NOx	Standard:	0.05 lb/MMBtu		
	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
SOx	Standard:	0.04 lb/MMBtu		
	Technology			
	Description:	Achieved in Practice		
	Basis: Standard:	6.1 lb/MMcf		
PM10	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
	Standard:	6.1 lb/MMcf		
1 112.5	Technology			
	Description:	p.		
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
со	Standard:	0.15 lb/MMBtu		
	Technology			
	Description:	Achieved in Practice		
	Basis: Standard:			
LEAD				
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:			
Comments	Landfill gas flare.	•		

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.3*

Last Update: 11/07/2016

Landfill Gas Vapor Collection System *RESCINDED*

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

1.4.3

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities*

10-20-2000 Rev. 0

Equipment or Process: Flare

		Criteri	a Pollutan	ts		
Rating/Size	VOC	NOx	SOx	CO	PM 10	Inorganic
Digester Gas or Landfill Gas from Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill	Ground Level, Shrouded, ≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention Time at ≥ 1400 °F, Auto Combustion Air Control, Automatic Shutoff Gas Valve and Automatic Re- Start System (1988)	0.06 lbs/MM Btu (1988)		Ground Level, Shrouded, ≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention Time at ≥ 1400 °F, and Auto Combustion Air Control (1988)	Knockout Vessel (1988)	
Landfill Gas from Hazardous Waste Landfill	Ground Level, Shrouded, ≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention Time at ≥ 1500 °F, Auto Combustion Air Control, Automatic Shutoff Gas Valve and Automatic Re- Start System (1988)	0.06 lbs/MM Btu (1988)		Ground Level, Shrouded, ≥ 0.6 Sec. Retention Time at ≥ 1500 °F, and Auto Combustion Air Control (1988)	Knockout Vessel (1988)	

* Means those facilities that are not major polluting facilities as defined by Rule 1302 - Definitions 53 Flare

BACT Guidelines - Part D

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline

Source Category

Common	Flare - Digester Gas or Landfill Gas from Non-	Revision: 1	
Source:	Hazardous Waste landfill	Document #:	80.1
Class:	All	Date:	12/16/91

Determination

POLLUTANT	BACT 1. Technologically Feasible/ Cost Effective 2. Achieved in Practice	TYPICAL TECHNOLOGY
POC	1. n/d 2. Ground level, enclosed, ≥ 0.6 sec. retention time at $\geq 1400^{\circ}F$, auto combustion air control, automatic shoutoff gas valve and automatic re-start system ^b	1. n/d 2. BAAQMD Approved Design and Operation ^b
NOx	1. ≤0.06 lb/MMBtu 2. 0.06 lb/MMBtu	1. n/s 2. n/s
SO ₂	 Scrubbing and/or carbon adsorption for hydrogen sulfide removal^c n/d 	 BAAQMD Approved Design and Operation^b n/d
СО	 n/d Same as for POC above^b 	1. n/a 2. BAAQMD Approved Design and Operation ^b
PM ₁₀	1. n/s 2. n/s	 Fuel Gas Filter Knockout Vessel
NPOC	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a

References

b. BAAQMD c. CARB/CAPCOA Clearinghouse

Appendix A

NOx Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

ULTRA LOW EMISSIONS FLARE COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/8-02-001, September 2000 Section 3.2 - VOC Destruction Controls, Chapter 1 - Flares

Equipment		
Flare Rating		9.6 MMBTU/hr
Flare Operating Hours		8760 hours
Standard Flare		0.068 lb/MMBTU
ZULE Flare		0.025 lb/MMBTU
Standard Flare NOx (lbs/year)		5718.528
Zule Flare NOx (Ibs/year)		2102.4
NOx Reduction (tons/year)		1.8
Cost Estimation		
Direct Costs	Flare	e (0.025 lb/MMBtu)
Flare System (A)	\$	374,300.00
Instrumentation (0.10 A)	\$	37,430.00
Sales Tax (8.5%)	Ş	31,815.50
Freight (0.05 A)	\$	18,715.00
PEC (B)	\$	462,260.50
Direct Installation Costs		
Foundation & Support (0.12 B)	Ş	55,471.26
Handling & Erection (0.40 B)	\$	184,904.20
Electrical (0.01 B)	\$ \$ \$	4,622.61
Piping (0.02 B)	Ş	9,245.21
Insulation (0.01 B)	Ş	4,622.61
Painting (0.01 B)	\$	4,622.61
Total Direct Installation Costs	\$	263,488.49
Tatal Direct Casts (DC)	\$	725,748.99
Total Direct Costs (DC)	ş	723,748.99
Indirect Costs		
Engineering (0.10 B)	ć	46 226 05
Construction and Field Expenses (0.10 B)	\$ \$	46,226.05 46,226.05
Contractor Fees (0 B)	Ş	40,220.05
Start-up (0.01 B)	×	\$4,622.61
Performance Test (0.01 B)		\$4,622.61
Contingencies (0.03 B)	Ş	13,867.82
Total Indirect Costs (IC)	\$	115,565.13
Total Capital Investment (DC + IC)	\$	841,314.11
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Labor (630 hr/yr x \$31.72/hr)	\$	19,983.60
Supervisor (15% of operator)	\$	2,997.54
Maintenance Labor		
Labor (0.5 hr/shift x shift/8 hr x 8,760 hr/yr x	6	10 200 40
\$18.81/hr) Materials (100% of labor)	ş Ş	10,298.48 10,298.48
Materials (100% of labor)	Ş	10,298.48
Total Direct Annual Costs	\$	43,578.09
	r	10,010100
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead (60% of total labor & material costs)	\$	115,565.13
Administration Charges (2% of TCI)	Ş	16,826.28
Property Tax (1% of TCI)	Ş	8,413.14
Insurance (1% of TCI)	\$	8,413.14
Annual Interest Rate		5%
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)		0.0963
Capital Recovery (CRF x TCI)	Ş	81,054.13
Total Indirect Annual Costs	\$	230,271.82
Total Annual Costs	¢	172 040 04
rotai Afinuar Costs	\$	273,849.91
NOx Removed (ton/yr)		1.8
Annual Cost	Ş	273,849.91
Cost of NOx removal (\$/ton)		151,460.29
8 B		

ULTRA LOW EMISSIONS FLARE COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/B-02-001, September 2000 Section 3.2 - VOC Destruction Controls, Chapter 1 - Flares

Equipment		
Flare Rating		167 MMBTU/hr
Flare Operating Hours		8760 hours
Standard Flare		0.068 lb/MMBTU
ZULE Flare		0.025 lb/MMBTU
Standard Flare NOx (lbs/year)		99478.56
Zule Flare NOx (Ibs/year)		36573
NOx Reduction (tons/year)		31.5
Cost Estimation		
Direct Costs	Flare	e (0.025 lb/MMBtu)
Flare System (A)	\$	1,400,000.00
Instrumentation (0.10 A)	\$	140,000.00
Sales Tax (8.5%)	\$	119,000.00
Freight (0.05 A)	\$	70,000.00
PEC (B)	\$	1,729,000.00
Direct Installation Costs		
Foundation & Support (0.12 B)	Ş	207,480.00
Handling & Erection (0.40 B)	\$	691,600.00
Electrical (0.01 B)	\$	17,290.00
Piping (0.02 B)	\$ \$	34,580.00
Insulation (0.01 B)	Ş	17,290.00
Painting (0.01 B)	\$	17,290.00
Total Direct Installation Costs	Ş	985,530.00
Total Direct Costs (DC)	\$	2,714,530.00
Iotal Direct costs (DC)	ş	2,714,330.00
Indirect Costs		
Engineering (0.10 B)	Ş	172,900.00
Construction and Field Expenses (0.10 B)	Ş	172,900.00
Contractor Fees (0 B)	Ş	-
Start-up (0.01 B)	×.	\$17,290.00
Performancd Test (0.01 B)		\$17,290.00
Contingencies (0.03 B)	Ş	51,870.00
Total Indirect Costs (IC)	\$	432,250.00
Total Capital Investment (DC + IC)	\$	3,146,780.00
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Labor (630 hr/yr x \$31.72/hr)	\$	19,983.60
Supervisor (15% of operator)	\$	2,997.54
Maintenance Labor		
Labor (0.5 hr/shift x shift/8 hr x 8,760 hr/yr x	ć	10,298.48
\$18.81/hr) Materials (100% of labor)	Ş Ş	10,298.48
Matchiais (100% of labor)	×	10,200.40
Total Direct Annual Costs	\$	43,578.09
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead (60% of total labor & material costs)	\$	432,250.00
Administration Charges (2% of TCI)	\$	62,935.60
Property Tax (1% of TCI)	\$	31,467.80
Insurance (1% of TCI)	\$	31,467.80
Annual Interest Rate		5%
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)		0.0963
Capital Recovery (CRF x TCI) Total Indirect Annual Costs	Ş	303,167.98
Total Indirect Annual Costs	\$	861,289.18
Total Annual Costs	\$	904,867.27
	¥	207,001.21
NOx Removed (ton/yr)		31.5
Annual Cost	\$	904,867.27
Cost of NOx removal (\$/ton)		28,769.07

CARBON ADSORPTION SYSTEM COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/8-02-001, September 1999 Section 3.1 - VOC Recapture Controls, Chapter 1 - Carbon Adsorbers

Faulturent		
Equipment		O 6 MAMPTIL/La
Flare Rating		9.6 MMBTU/hr 8760 hours
Flare Operating Hours Standard Flare		0.05 lb/MMBTU
80% Control		0.04 lb/MMBTU
Standard Flare SO2 (lbs/year)		4204.8
Controlled SO2 (lbs/year)		3363.84
NOx Reduction (tons/year)		0.4
Cost Estimation		
Direct Costs	Carl	oon Adsorption System
Carbon Adsorption System (A)	\$	45,120.00
Instrumentation (0.10 A)	\$	4,512.00
Sales Tax (8.5%)	\$	3,835.20
Freight (0.05 A)	\$	2,256.00
PEC (B)	\$	55,723.20
Direct Installation Costs	~	6 6 6 7 7 9
Foundation & Support (0.12 B)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6,686.78
Handling & Erection (0.40 B)	ç	22,289.28
Electrical (0.01 B) Piping (0.02 B)	ş	557.23 1,114.46
Insulation (0.01 B)	Ś	557.23
Painting (0.01 B)	š	557.23
Total Direct Installation Costs	Ş	31,762.22
	•	
Total Direct Costs (DC)	\$	87,485.42
Indirect Costs		
Engineering (0.10 B)	\$	5,572.32
Construction and Field Expenses (0.10 B)	\$	5,572.32
Contractor Fees (0 B)	\$	
Start-up (0.01 B)		\$557.23
Performancd Test (0.01 B)		\$557.23
Contingencies (0.03 B)	Ş	1,671.70
Total Indirect Costs (IC)	\$	13,930.80
Total Capital Investment (DC + IC)	\$	101,416.22
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Labor (0.5 hr/shift x 3 shift/day x		
360 days/yr x \$31.72/hr)	\$	17,128.80
Supervisor (15% of operator)	\$	2,569.32
Maintenance Labor		
Labor (0.5 hr/shift x 3 shift/day x 360 days/yr x \$18.81/hr)	Ş	10 157 40
Materials (100% of labor)	\$	10,157.40 10,157.40
materials (1965) of labory	Ŷ	10,107.40
Carbon replacement	\$	3,444.00
Total Direct Annual Costs	\$	43,456.92
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead (60% of total labor & material costs)	\$	13,930.80
Administration Charges (2% of TCI)	\$	2,028.32
Property Tax (1% of TCI)	ŝ	1.014.16
Insurance (1% of TCI)	\$	1,014.16
Annual Interest Rate	6	5%
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)		0.1295
Capital Recovery (CRF x TCI)	\$	13,133.86
Total Indirect Annual Costs	\$	31,121.31
	2	
Total Annual Costs	\$	74,578.23
NOx Removed (ton/yr)		0.4
Annual Cost	\$	74,578.23
Cost of NOx removal (\$/ton)	÷.	177,364.52
		17930-01-09948000-01-0127-3098-023

CARBON ADSORPTION SYSTEM COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/8-02-001, September 1999 Section 3.1 - VOC Recapture Controls, Chapter 1 - Carbon Adsorbers

Equipment		102
Flare Rating		167 MMBTU/hr
Flare Operating Hours		8760 hours
Standard Flare 80% Control		0.05 lb/MMBTU 0.04 lb/MMBTU
Standard Flare SO2 (lbs/year)		73146
Controlled SO2 (lbs/year)		58516.8
NOx Reduction (tons/year)		7.3
Cost Estimation		
Direct Costs	Carl	bon Adsorption System
Carbon Adsorption System (A)	\$	564,000.00
Instrumentation (0.10 A)	\$ \$	56,400.00
Sales Tax (8.5%)	\$	47,940.00
Freight (0.05 A)	\$	28,200.00
PEC (B)	\$	696,540.00
Direct Installation Costs	~	00 501 00
Foundation & Support (0.12 B)	Ş	83,584.80
Handling & Erection (0.40 B) Electrical (0.01 B)	ç	278,616.00 6,965.40
Piping (0.02 B)	ŝ	13,930.80
Insulation (0.01 B)	Š	6,965.40
Painting (0.01 B)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6,965.40
Total Direct Installation Costs	\$	397,027.80
Total Direct Costs (DC)	\$	1,093,567.80
5 mm (1.15 m		
Indirect Costs		
Engineering (0.10 B)	\$	69,654.00
Construction and Field Expenses (0.10 B)	Ş	69,654.00
Contractor Fees (0 B) Start-up (0.01 B)	\$	\$6,965.40
Performance Test (0.01 B)		\$6,965.40
Contingencies (0.03 B)	\$	20,896.20
Total Indirect Costs (IC)	\$	174,135.00
Total Capital Investment (DC + IC)	\$	1,267,702.80
D ¹		
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Labor (0.5 hr/shift x 3 shift/day x	ĕ	17 139 90
360 days/yr x \$31.72/hr) Supervisor (15% of operator)	\$ \$	17,128.80 2,569.32
Supervisor (15% of operator)	Ŷ	2,303.32
Maintenance Labor		
Labor (0.5 hr/shift x 3 shift/day x 360 days/yr x		
\$18.81/hr)	\$	10,157.40
Materials (100% of labor)	\$	10,157.40
- 3 3		
Carbon replacement	\$	125,400.00
Total Direct Annual Costs	\$	165,412.92
Total Direct Annual Costs	2	103,412.52
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead (60% of total labor & material costs)	\$	174,135.00
Administration Charges (2% of TCI)	\$	25,354.06
Property Tax (1% of TCI)	\$	12,677.03
Insurance (1% of TCI)	\$	12,677.03
Annual Interest Rate		5%
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)	ç	0.1295 164,173.31
Capital Recovery (CRF x TCI) Total Indirect Annual Costs	\$ \$	389,016.42
Total indirect Annual Costs	3	365,010.42
Total Annual Costs	\$	554,429.34
NOx Removed (ton/yr)		7.3
Annual Cost	\$	554,429.34
Cost of NOx removal (\$/ton)		75,797.63

WET SCRUBBER SYSTEM COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/B-02-001, December 1995 Section 5.2 - Post-Combustion Controls, Chapter 1 - Wet Scrubbers for Acid Gas

Equipment		
Flare Rating		9.6 MMBTU/hr
Flare Operating Hours		8760 hours
Standard Flare		0.05 Ib/MMBTU
90% Control Standard Flare SO2 (lbs/year)		0.045 lb/MMBTU 4204.8
Controlled SO2 (lbs/year)		3784.32
SOx Reduction (tons/year)		0.2
Cost Estimation		
Direct Costs		Scrubber System
Wet Scrubber System (A)	\$	896,000.00
Instrumentation (0.10 A) Sales Tax (8.5%)	\$ \$	89,600.00 76,160.00
Freight (0.05 A)	\$	44,800.00
PEC (B)	\$	1,106,560.00
Bind the Harrison of the		
Direct Installation Costs	c	112 797 10
Foundation & Support (0.12 B) Handling & Erection (0.40 B)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	132,787.20 442,624.00
Electrical (0.01 B)	s	11,065.60
Piping (0.02 B)	\$	22,131.20
Insulation (0.01 B)	\$	11,065.60
Painting (0.01 B)		11,065.60
Total Direct Installation Costs	\$	630,739.20
Total Direct Costs (DC)	\$	1,737,299.20
Indirect Costs	~	110 555 00
Engineering (0.10 B) Construction and Field Expenses (0.10 B)	\$ \$	110,656.00 110,656.00
Contractor Fees (0 B)	Ş	-
Start-up (0.01 B)	Ť	\$11,065.60
Performancd Test (0.01 B)		\$11,065.60
Contingencies (0.03 B)	\$	33,196.80
Total Indirect Costs (IC)	\$	276,640.00
Total Capital Investment (DC + IC)	\$	2,013,939.20
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Labor (0.5 hr/shift x shift/8 hr x		
8,000 hr/yr x \$31.72/hr)	\$	15,860.00
Supervisor (15% of operator)	\$	2,379.00
Maintenance Labor		
Labor (0.5 hr/shift x shift/8 hr x 8,000 hr/yr x		
\$18.81/hr)	\$	9,405.00
Materials (100% of labor)	\$	9,405.00
Chemical Cost	\$	640.00
circinical cost	Ŷ	040.00
Total Direct Annual Costs	\$	37,689.00
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead (60% of total labor & material costs)	\$	276,640.00
Administration Charges (2% of TCI)	\$	40,278.78
Property Tax (1% of TCI)	\$	20,139.39
Insurance (1% of TCI)	\$	20,139.39
Annual Interest Rate		5%
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)	ç	0.0963
Capital Recovery (CRF x TCI) Total Indirect Annual Costs	\$ \$	194,027.51 551,225.08
Total mun ect Annual Costs	2	331,223.00
Total Annual Costs	\$	588,914.08
CO. D		~~
SOx Removed (ton/yr) Annual Cost	\$	0.2 588,914.08
Cost of SOx removal (\$/ton)	2	2,801,151.43
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

WET SCRUBBER SYSTEM COST EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATION EPA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL COST MANUAL, Sixth Edition, EPA/452/B-02-001, December 1995 Section 5.2 - Post-Combustion Controls, Chapter 1 - Wet Scrubbers for Acid Gas

Equipment		
Flare Rating		167 MMBTU/hr
Flare Operating Hours		8760 hours
Standard Flare		0.05 Ib/MMBTU
90% Control		0.045 lb/MMBTU
Standard Flare SO2 (lbs/year)		73146 65831.4
Controlled SO2 (lbs/year) SOx Reduction (tons/year)		3.7
Cost Estimation		
Direct Costs	Wet	Scrubber System
Wet Scrubber System (A)	\$	1,120,000.00
Instrumentation (0.10 A)	\$	112,000.00
Sales Tax (8.5%)	\$	95,200.00
Freight (0.05 A) PEC (B)	\$ \$	56,000.00 1,383,200.00
FEC (B)	Ş	1,565,200.00
Direct Installation Costs		
Foundation & Support (0.12 B)	\$	165,984.00
Handling & Erection (0.40 B)	\$	553,280.00
Electrical (0.01 B)	\$	13,832.00
Piping (0.02 B)	Ş	27,664.00
Insulation (0.01 B)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	13,832.00
Painting (0.01 B) Total Direct Installation Costs	\$	13,832.00 788,424.00
rotal Direct installation costs	ý	700,424.00
Total Direct Costs (DC)	\$	2,171,624.00
Indirect Costs		
Engineering (0.10 B)	\$	138,320.00
Construction and Field Expenses (0.10 B)	\$	138,320.00
Contractor Fees (0 B)	\$	
Start-up (0.01 B)		\$13,832.00
Performancd Test (0.01 B)		\$13,832.00
Contingencies (0.03 B)	Ş	41,496.00
Total Indirect Costs (IC)	\$	345,800.00
Total Capital Investment (DC + IC)	\$	2,517,424.00
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Labor (0.5 hr/shift x shift/8 hr x		
8,000 hr/yr x \$31.72/hr)	\$	15,860.00
Supervisor (15% of operator)	\$	2,379.00
Maintenance Labor		
Labor (0.5 hr/shift x shift/8 hr x 8,000 hr/yr x \$18.81/hr)	\$	9,405.00
Materials (100% of labor)	\$ \$	9,405.00
materials (1997) of laboly	Ť	57105100
Chemical Cost	\$	7,770.00
Total Direct Annual Costs	\$	44,819.00
Indirect Annual Costs		
	z	- 4F 000 00
Overhead (60% of total labor & material costs)	\$ c	345,800.00
Administration Charges (2% of TCI) Property Tax (1% of TCI)	\$ \$	50,348.48 25,174.24
Insurance (1% of TCI)	\$	25,174.24
Annual Interest Rate	×.	5%
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)		0.0963
Capital Recovery (CRF x TCI)	\$	242,534.39
Total Indirect Annual Costs	\$	689,031.35
Total Annual Costs	\$	733,850.35
SOx Removed (ton/yr)		3.7
Annual Cost	\$	733,850.35
Cost of SOx removal (\$/ton)		200,653.58